Probabilistic Model-Based Detection of Bent-Double Radio Galaxies

Sergey Kirshner¹, Igor V. Cadez¹, Padhraic Smyth¹, Chandrika Kamath², Erick Cantú-Paz²

¹Department of Information and Computer Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3425, U.S.A. ²Center for Applied Scientific Computing, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551, U.S.A

We describe an application of probabilistic modeling to the problem of recognizing radio galaxies with a bent-double morphology. The data is available in both raw images and a catalog of fitted elliptical components. Due to the size of the catalog (~770K sources), it is infeasible to manually label all of bent-double configurations.



Simplified Representation of Bent-**Double Configurations** and Possible Orientations

component 2





		Ber	nt-dout	bles I	Non-ber	t-doubles		
The VLA FIRST survey	RA	Dec	Peak Flux (mJy/bm))	Major Axis (arcsec)	Minor Axis (arcsec)	Position Angle (degrees)	component I	
(<u>http://sundog.stsc1.edu</u>) covered about 8500 squared degrees and identified about 7.7*10 ⁵ sources.	16 39 31.273 16 39 31.399 16 39 32.800	+28 54 31.83 +28 54 9.36 +28 54 44.58	6.35 6.76 8.97	11.03 6.25 6.83	6.00 5.48 6.72	20.5 153.3 0.1		



How to Build a Probabilistic Model?

- Build a probabilistic model only for bent-doubles.
- Bent-doubles exhibit reflection symmetry.
 - Call symmetrical elliptical components "lobes"
 - Call the center components "cores"
- Given orientation, can calculate features and use them.
 - Center angles
 - Side ratios
 - Peak intensity ratios

How to Find Proper Orientations?

- What is left is to find the cores for the training set.
- We can ask the scientists to identify the cores.
- Alternatively, we can use an iterative algorithm to find cores automatically.
 - Initially, core components for each examples in the training set are

- 3 per configuration per orientation
- Once features calculated, can calculate the probability of the configuration being a bent-double under a particular orientation.
- Assuming conditional independence of features: $P((\mathbf{c}_{a},\mathbf{c}_{b},\mathbf{c}_{c})|\theta) = P(\alpha_{a,\theta},\alpha_{b,\theta},\alpha_{c,\theta})P(sr_{a,\theta},sr_{b,\theta},sr_{c,\theta})P(ir_{a,\theta},ir_{b,\theta},ir_{c,\theta}).$
- Knowing cores for all configurations in the set, we can further express probabilities of individual features.
 - Kernel density estimators (KDE)
 - Normal distributions on transformed features

Results and Future Directions

- We are using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve plot for evaluation of the method.
- For each of the configurations, we calculate its probability score given the rest of positive examples from the set.
- Scores are then arranged in increasing order, and ROC plot is built.

- chosen at random.
- At each iteration, a core for each configuration is re-selected to maximize the probability of the features for the configuration.
- Iterations are stopped once there are no changes from one iteration to the next or after a fixed number of iterations has elapsed.
- The procedure does not guarantee convergence to the set of cores which maximize the probability of the training set, but works well in practice.

Results/ROC Plot



- Algorithm can be converted into classifier by setting a
- threshold for positives.
- Features other than described can be used as well.
- In the future, we can use EM algorithm to learn
- orientations with parametric method.
- We can also mixtures of classes with EM. (Early results for the last two bullets has been submitted to NIPS '02).



For parametric method, the area under the ROC curve is 0.0469. For KDE-based method, the area under the ROC curve is 0.0696. Random score assignment would yield the area of 0.5 while perfect assignment would yield 1.